IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1141 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

BIPINKUMAR AMRATLAL THAKKAR

Versus

GHANSHYAMDAS MANEKLAL SHAH

Appearance:

MR NANDLAL THAKKAR for Petitioner No. 1

MR NILESH A PANDYA for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 05/02/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the respective parties. The learned counsel for the respondent states that he has no objection if the impugned order passed by the Extra Assistant Judge, Vadodara below Exh.82 in

Regular Civil Appeal No.79 of 1994 is set aside. Hence, in the circumstances, I do not think it necessary to go into other contentions raised on behalf of the petitioner. The revision application is, therefore, allowed and the impugned order is set aside. Rule made absolute with no order as to costs. Interim relief stands vacated.

****

hki